The Attempted Setup Sheathing the Sword

"Those who fear the truth shall always live in darkness."

Joel Dalais

For all involved entities and individuals, please be aware that you may consider this your 1st and only notice, legal proceedings will ensue at my leisure, you will be notified further when this occurs. I hope this will give those who are innocent to all the criminal acts and behaviour listed below the time to disassociate themselves with those who are guilty.

Dear entities and individuals involved: You were warned numerous times to leave me alone, you did not. All old emails and names have been kept for such an incident.

Anyone who I have not tied directly to the criminal activity below yet remaining involved with the entities and individuals may also be pursued at my leisure to the full extent of the law (as listed in the summary).

Enjoy.

Forward

Following is an account of events over the last few days, exposing the PRW group for who and what they really are. Included are various emails not put up on their website, yet can be verified by contacting anyone that they also emailed in their attack against the fight to end prohibition (amongst these the Devon & Cornwall Police and Crimestoppers).

'Sheathing the Sword' is an old sword play. Where you would 'sheath' your opponents sword within your own body, trapping it, as you deliver a death blow. Sometimes this is fatal to oneself, but then sometimes the greater good is worth sacrificing for. And thus this is for you dear reader.

Since the start of my work at CLEAR (back in September, 2012), I have been hounded and stalked numerous times via email and facebook, from various 'people' (who I have fairly accurate suspicions (due to the repetitive nature) of the 'individuals' belonging to the same group).

I have instructed this 'Peter Reynolds Watch' group, numerous times that I am not interested, and I see through their lies and their folly's. However, this time they have caught my attention, and thus I divert my gaze upon them and shine a light upon who they are and what they are really about.

For those that have been lied to, misinformed, and tricked into following these people. I do not in any way hold you accountable for their actions, but I do invite you to read all, especially the 'missing' emails (shown below, which it was very nice of them to send multiple copies out for everyone to verify) that were used to try to threaten me, of their attempts of entrapment, reporting to the police (after said failed entrapment) and breaking various criminal and civil laws. I warned them that they did not want my attention diverted to them, they did not listen.

And thus, I sheath the Sword.

Dear PRW group,

Who run NORML_UK, setup the UK CSC's, and various other 'pro cannabis' organisations and institutions.

The Prohibitionists hiding amongst us.

You made a mistake. You got my attention.

The Story

In an obvious attempt at entrapment and trying to damage my own and to extent CLEAR's credibility, they reveal themselves. The lengths that these 'Anti-CLEAR/Peter' people will go to, negligence, numerous criminal breeches, entrapment, 'grassing people up (through a failed understanding what entrapment is and constitutes to it)'. Will this be the end of the 'wolf in sheep's clothing'? Will their followers finally realise the truth of the depths these people will go to stop peoples freedoms and the ending of prohibition.

Will their followers now finally realise from this 3 month planned attack that;

"oh, actually, they're against ALL ending of prohibition, it's NOT just an attack against Peter."

The significant issues are, numerous criminal breeches, as per,

Entrapment (it is never legal)
Data Protection Act 1998
Crime and Courts Act 2013
Misuse of Drugs Act 1971

Exact details of each breech is listed in the summary.

What is below contains various 'extra' emails that are not included (for reasons you will soon find out) at the following site - http://pastebin.com/YEy1rC74 - where the email conversation (as below) is placed.

A brief word from me

"I wanted to unify us by bringing everyone back together. I tried to stay away from their dispute which started before my joining CLEAR. I have ignored various emails where they have stalked me repeatedly via multiple emails pretending they are different people (as shown again in this). I still have some of those emails also, which if I deem relevant, shall see the light of day.

I have tried persuading this group that I am for 'ending prohibition of cannabis' when I work for CLEAR. And I have stated numerous times publicly that I, as an individual also believe in the ending of prohibition for all drugs. That the more dangerous a drug is then the more a state should control and regulate it.

Since this group, the 'head of the viper', so to speak, have vindictively targeted me with a 3 month planned attack, I have decided to react. The PRW group want prohibition to continue, they are the snake in our midst. And so waking people up and unifying the combined cause must come with their corrupt leadership's destruction.

It's time to unify, it's time to wake up!"

The breeches of Criminal and Civil law

They even used a child to attack CLEAR?

Since this case involves a minor I have provided some basic information here,

http://www.seqlegal.com/blog/websites-data-protection-and-children

You will note that the email addresses each of these 'different' people that do the mass emails (their @tormail.org address) sends to is nearly identical, a CLEAR (pun intended) indication that it is the same person.

The most identifying is from the PRW@tormail.org (see below). Breaching various laws of a criminal nature, and they will be held accountable (as also noted in that section).

The Data Protection act can be found here, the various breeches will be listed in the summary.

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/29/contents

There is also new regulation under the Crime and Courts, of wish said parties are in breach of clause 29.

http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2012-13/crimeandcourts.html

All sections breeched will be listed in the summary.

The 'leaders' of the 'other side' (the pro cannabis groups that hate CLEAR because of the lies they have been told) are using you. I have strong suspicion to believe that are involved in keeping Cannabis prohibited (as you can see, links/emails with Crimestoppers as the most obvious of late). There are other reasons, which at this time I have no need to provide.

Unlike Mr Peter Reynolds, who has been a victim of similar attacks over the last year, I do NOT seek a public apology, when it is time I shall acquire sentencing to the fullest extent for all those involved, then I will post this and report this everywhere, and THAT will be their public apology.

Some notes about Entrapment;

- First, the idea for committing the crime came from the government agents and not from the person accused of the crime.
- Second, the government agents then persuaded or talked the person into committing the crime. Simply giving him the opportunity to commit the crime is not the same as persuading him to commit the crime.
- And third, the person was not ready and willing to commit the crime before the government agents spoke with him.

These are the people you follow.

So now let me make it clearly known that I have partaken of the Charlie in my past (14 odd years ago was the last time, when I studied law in London). I guess my 'early days' memoirs will be more similar to Obama and Cameron after all.

And a reminder to all, that whilst I work for CLEAR, near or far, I have done so for ending the prohibition against Cannabis, but as an individual, due to research, working in various justice sectors, learning the truth about various things and institutions I believe fully in the end of prohibition for ALL drugs! Only then will we finally be able to destroy the drug cartels and the corrupt politicians, and the groups like this PRW who falsely represent ending prohibition, whose true purpose is to continue keeping you all within the debt prison.

In bold are my additions, criminal law breeches and rough sentencing for each and all listed in the summary. If you have taken part in any of this (even publisicizing as 'news', as under clause 29 of the Crime and Courts Act 2013), you may want to make yourself aware of all the breeches listed in the summary.

Examples of breech Clause 29 (and showing what they support), is as follows.



I have emailed all the exact same people that the PRW group have emailed (see below) with some hidden additions. I will at this time be sitting on all this information and have instructed those that have copies and further instructions to also sit on it, for now. I have also emailed NORML US, as getting the NORML name dragged down by prohibitionists pretending to 'fight for us' is clearly damaging for all, especially the innocent caught in their thrall. Any and all legal entities emailed, I ask that you take no further action until I give my express permission (which you may of course ask).

Feel free to check everything else, I have provided full links and sources for all acts and statutes, and will forward emails upon request by certified bodies. All parties are instructed not to take any further legal actions without my permission. All organisations linked with PRW need to be aware of the implications this evidence has caused, I especially want to make NORML_UK aware, as NORML_US (and as many extensions as I feel appropriate) are being made aware of the corruption of certain members their appointed NORML_UK leaders

An out of court settlement 'might' be considered at some point, for some of the breeches. Though it needs to be noted that some of the following breeches are of a criminal nature, as such, it is not likely any 'out of court' settlement will be possible.

You have my attention.

Kind regards,

Joel

Numerical order is set for legal reference.

(1)

This one very obviously identifies this whole scheme as the 3 months plan (see the prw@tormail.org (peter Reynolds watch) below) to try to force my expulsion and resignation, embarrassing CLEAR in the process. Please also note that Bitcoins (at this time) are not an 'illegal donation', as they are not a recognized currency by UK (or any law). One day (sooner rather than later) I hope the state will recognize them. The amount in fiat would be needed to be declared if it was over £7,000, which I simply was not expecting that much, as such one needs to wait to see the amount donated, then exchanged before declaring, the law is the law.

RE: Bitcoins

From: simplysarah@tormail.org

To: Joel Dalais

CC: peterreynolds@clear-uk.org; derek@ukcia.org

Your charlie is a lot higher quality than mine because I dont touch the stuff hence dont have any. In hindsight i bet you wish that you had created the tormail account earlier and not mentioned that PR and DW were also cokeheads? (we already knew PR and DW were cokeheads by the way)

(There at no point is anywhere *I* stated any names or agreed such thing, because it does not exist, our 'mystery' (prw) friend 'sarah' however is the only one that does (see below)).

Anonymous off-record donations to political parties are also very illegal, just so you know. (Wrong in this case, see above).

Sorry all this had to happen to you but this is what you get when you support and defend peter reynolds despite the mountain of evidence against him.

260 views on here now: http://pastebin.com/YEy1rC74

i look forward to your public expulsion or resignation.

happy sunday

notsarah x

(2)

This one is the most significant, and they kindly sent copies to many people. For those that don't know, 'Someone Filled Out Your Contact Form' is what a website gets when someone fills out their contact form with a message, such as below).

FW: Someone Filled Out Your Contact Form!

From: prw [prw@tormail.org]

(uh oh its the Peter Reynolds Watch)

Sent: Sun 24/03/2013 09:2

To Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org; peterreynolds@clear-uk.org; prialer@yahoo.co.uk; jdl@hotmail.co.uk; norml@norml-uk.org; Alanwyllie77@gmail.com; alun.buffry@sky.com; prwatch@hushmail.com; Giselle.Lares@crimestoppers-uk.org; iaracism@tormail.org

Helping Crimestoppers keep prohibition going by attacking the opposition thats fighting against their Scratch & Snitch, who wants to guess their links with Crimestoppers and the whole Scratch & Snitch campaign? After seeing how much effort they put into assisting Crimestoppers (by setting up this failed entrapment and 'snitching' on myself) (as CLEAR is fighting very heavily against the Scratch and Snitch campaign), is it too far of a stretch to imagine they are happily part of the funding for 'Scratch and Snitch?'.

Identifying personal information of a minor.

Your Name: - Harvey Parsons
Your Email - hparsons@live.com

Your Message: - My uncle, Joel Dalais, is a member of clear in charge of recruitment and a close friend of Peter Reynolds. After Joel accompanied Peter to the corby ellection he returned to tell me that whilst he was away himself, Peter, and other members all snorted copious amounts of cocaine. In the last week Joel has also told me that none of their membership fees have been accounted for properly and people who paid for membership months ago are still waiting on a reply and even their membership cards, linked to the fraud case possibly? Is peter maybe using the money for his own purposes? (all lies btw, surprise, surprise).

Form Displayed on Page: peter-reynolds-watch.com/contact/ Sender IP: 88.108.253.228 --- (again, identifying who it is from, in much greater detail, people can get addresses and similar from IP information, and IP addresses are also covered under the data protection act).

This was emailed to them 3 months ago, during a family dispute whilst my nephew was angry at me. In anger he posted a comment on one of their PRW websites, and as revealed now (and as I have now been informed by him), he also emailed them this message. The PRW group have kept onto this email for 3+ months waiting to build a plot to set me up, as per the email conversation with 'sarah'.

They've also emailed my nephews name and email contact (which you can ask him yourself to verify any of this as they have given you his name and contact details, and IP address).

Be wary if you have given any of your personal details to these people or their websites. They WILL use it and publicize it if they so choose.

Which is in fact against the law, under Data Protection Act of 1998 in that you have divulged personal data that was entrusted to you, in this instance, a name and contact information without the individuals prior agreement.

This is further confounded by the fact that the individual in question is a minor, in that he is younger than 18 years old.

Shall we keep going?

(3)

Funny coincidence how this <u>iaracism@tormail.org</u> has EXACTLY the same contacts as the <u>prw@tormail.org</u> don't you think? And again, thank you for making sure that various people have copies of all of this. Now a lot more people than on this email now have copies of it.

From: iaracism@tormail.org

To: peterreynolds@clear-uk.org; prialer@yahoo.co.uk; jdl@hotmail.co.uk; norml@norml-uk.org; Alanwyllie77@gmail.com; alun.buffry@sky.com; prwatch@hushmail.com; Giselle.Lares@crimestoppers-uk.org

To whom it may concern.

You were messaged earlier from simplysarah@tormail.org regarding Joel Dalais (membership director of CLEAR) soliciting cocaine for himself and 2 other executive members: PR (Peter Reynolds: Leader of CLEAR) and DW (Derek Williams: Webmaster of CLEAR).

The messages did not format well in the previous email, and two emails were missing from the conversation. A text document is enclosed with this email which shows the full correspondence followed by the full headers of each email that Joel sent the fictitious Sarah. The emails are also copied beneath this email.

It should be noted that Joel Dalais is using the official CLEAR email address in this correspondence.

Yours sincerely, Internet Against Racism.

Why would this 'different' person need to email the same list of people? Why can't simplysarah@tormail.org email them herself? And how can this 'different' person have the 'two emails missing from the conversation'.

Perhaps its the same person? And also the same person behind the prw@tormail. The same PRW group in charge of various things (you know who you are) who will happily use a minors name and personal details and setup a 3 month failed entrapment to damage the ending of prohibition.

Who do they really work for?

(4)

A simple 'scare' email (from the same person). I mean, someone who works for a 'national newspaper', suddenly within the same time period sends an email from also a @tormail.org address. This one is made to try to pressure the executive

into expelling me from the party, and thus embarrassing CLEAR and the whole fight against prohibition.

----Original Message----

From: a2266@tormail.org [mailto:a2266@tormail.org]

Sent: 24 March 2013 14:31 To: peterreynolds@clear-uk.org

Cc: Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org; editor@clear-uk.org

Subject: Damage Limitation

Good Afternoon Mr Reynolds,

I am guessing you have seen this - http://pastebin.com/YEy1rC74

What on earth are you people doing?

Do you have any idea of the fall out from this?

I will take this opportunity to say I work for a national newspaper and this has been emailed out to everyone.

I support the work Clear does but this is going to cause you & Clear some serious embarrassment mark my word.

Its time you got your bloody house in order don't you think?

(5)

Here's the PRW group reporting their attempt at entrapment to the Police (in the process reporting themselves for dealing Class A, entrapment, Data Protection, etc).

It even has the crime number, so you can all go and verify for yourselves.

Clever people, not.

[Fwd: Re: Your email [<AR5224>] {13583}]

From iaracism@tormail.org
To: joel-dalais@clear-uk.org

----- Original Message

Subject: Re: Your email [<AR5224>] {13583}
From: 101_e@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk

Date: Sun, March 24, 2013 5:15 am

To: iaracism@tormail.org

Thank you for your email, received on 24-Mar-13 at 5:08 AM. We will action your request within 24 hours of its receipt.

If your email needs to be forwarded to a particular officer or specialist department we will do that within 24 hours, that officer or specialist department may not be able to respond right away. The officer may not be immediately available and some specialist departments only work office hours.

The Reference for this email is shown in the subject field.

101 - The non emergency number for Devon and Cornwall Police Textphone 18001 101 for the deaf, heard of hearing or speech impaired Always call 999 in an emergency

Devon and Cornwall Police aims to serve the communities of Devon and Cornwall and help inspire greater confidence in the Police. For more information please visit our website at www.devon-cornwall.police.uk

This e-mail is intended for the named recipient only and may contain privileged information, which is protected in law.

If you have received this mail in error, you may not read, copy, disseminate or otherwise deal with it. In this case, please delete the mail and contact the sender immediately.

For those attacking Peter so much for 'grassing up a medicinal user' (which is a lie btw), they do a damn good bit more than just 'grassing', attempted entrapment, importation, attempted supply of cocaine (then when I did not disclose addresses) another attempt at supply. Stating you have 2oz of Cocaine and trying to supply to 3 different people on multiple occasions, is supply no matter how you look at it.

Then trying to use such entrapment to report to the police? Whilst breaking numerous laws and using personal identifying information of a minor.

And onwards?

(6)

From: simplysarah [simplysarah@tormail.org]

To: Joel Dalais

CC: peterreynolds@clear-uk.org; derek@ukcia.org; enquiries@norfolk.pnn.police.uk; Tim.Lainsbury@Dorset.PNN.Police.uk; enquiries@Dorset.PNN.Police.uk; 101@devonandcornwall.pnn.police.uk; pef@electoralcommission.org.uk; news@angliapressagency.co.uk; richard@richardshrubb.co.uk

Dear police, Crimestoppers, Electoral Commission, journalists, and interested parties,

Please find enclosed recent email correspondence between myself (a non drug user) and the director of membership for the UK political party CLEAR (Cannabis Law Reform). Please note that the communications come from his official CLEAR email address.

In the email, PR refers to Peter Reynolds (leader of CLEAR) and DW refers to Derek Williams (webmaster of CLEAR).

Full headers can be provided upon request.

Yours sincerely, Internet Against Racism.

Oops, an email from 'Simplysarah' just signed with 'Internet Against Racism' (Iaracism@tormail.org = Internet Against Racism. if you can't put the dot's together yourself). And again, sent a copy to all these lovely legal establishments.

Simplysarah = Iaracism = PRW group = NORM_UK management = in 'charge' of the Cannabis Social Clubs & every other related site & 'pro' cannabis group (I say that loosely, as they are in 'charge' of themselves, just that this prw group originally set them up as a 'tool' to assist them in fighting for prohibition by using the ones that believe their lies to attack CLEAR and the real campaign).

You have all been lied to, all this time.

The rest is what everyone has already seen, with some added notes (in bold) from myself.

Conversation may be strangely formatted. If so, please refer to the enclosed text document.

Subject: Bitcoins

From: simplysarah@tormail.org
Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 12:51 am

To: Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org

Priority: Normal

Dear Joel

Im in the process of cashing in my bitcoin stash. Most were mined 09-10 when you could still CPU mine and when a pizza cost 20,000BTC lol. Im slowly selling what I have on MTGox but Im thinking about donating some coins to CLEAR to help support the cause.

Is 14oYcNZR6CRCe826a2SY3SdqdCTsEk3LWt the correct address to send to?

Do you or anyone else in CLEAR accept tips? Drop me your wallet address and I can send some silk road tokens your way.

Sarah x

-

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>

Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 10:34 am

To: simplysarah@tormail.org

Priority: Normal

Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as

a file

Hi Sarah,

Yes, that is the correct address to our MtGox account (it's in my name at the moment, I'm still in the process of getting the treasurer to make a CLEAR specific account). We unfortunately only have a small regular funding from memberships and rare donations, so any donation is greatly appreciated (which we would use to further our campaign, make people aware, get the truth out there and combat the corruption in government!).

My personal address is 1Gbiyq6De8yZ7vU1nm9Q7TJXRBbX6tha3p if you really feel like donating, I won't say no (all my spare cash tends to go towards supporting the cause as much as I can). And if you wish I can tell you specifically what your donation would be used for.

We do accept tips, if you wish to tip the others of the exec team they havn't unfortunately as yet got bitcoin wallets, but I am sure that the prospect of tips would help me to convince them of the amazing merits of bitcoin (and how we should continue, as a political party, to support and encourage bitcoin, they don't as yet understand its full potential).

I am definitely a strong believer in bitcoin, and its merits and potential is amazing.

Kind regards,

Joel

Joel Dalais
Director of Membership
CLEAR - Cannabis Law Reform
Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org
www.clear-uk.org
www.facebook.com/ClearUK

2oz is a quantity that is classed as dealing, and quite a bit at that. 56 grams of which at that quality is normal practice to double the amount by 'cutting' with other substances, or to sell it at twice the price per gram at that high quality (you can check Silk Road or street prices for that one). That is £5,600 worth of cocaine, IF you only cut it once. So, who thinks that this person does have 2oz or not? And would be selling the rest in his/her own area? Clearly they know enough about silk road and where to buy such drugs from. I'm a criminologist and have studied law, specifically drug related law

and social justice for 17+ years, it is my job to know. What is their excuse? Perhaps a 3 month planned political attack to discredit the real campaign and keep prohibition strong would give them this type of knowledge?

From: simplysarah@tormail.org [mailto:simplysarah@tormail.org]

Sent: 23 March 2013 18:07

To: Joel Dalais

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

Hi Joel.

Cool. I have a load of BTC out on loan at the moment which are due back at the end of the month. I'll probably send you some of those as they are untainted. I dont want there to be a direct connection between myself and clear or any of my online dealings.

I know you guys are into cannabis and all that (as am i) but I got 2oz of lovely 80% bolivian marching powder the other day from SR. Do any of you guys use it to help you work? (intent to supply) If you do i could send an anonymous donation that way too. certainly enough for a good evening;)

Sarah x

I will be honest and say, anyone selling all their bitcoins at this time are stupid, and bitcoins can NOT get 'tainted'. Clearly they looked into things, but fail to understand the real concepts of bitcoin.

It is criminal to possess, and criminal to use, but not criminal to accept (and then turn over to the local police if one was so inclined).

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>

Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 8:36 pm

To: simplysarah@tormail.org

Priority: Normal

Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as

a file

Hi Sarah,

Ye, I guessed that you'd want to remain anonymous (from the tormail address). And I know that 3 of team (myself included) wouldn't say no to some 'bolivian marching powder', and its 80% ?? damn nice, don't think I've ever tried such quality. I was going to get some a few months back via SR but never got around to it. I think it's fair to say that the team would be extremely grateful. Though that one would probably be best sent to me than our official address, then from there I can share with the team.

Must be wary of customs though if its sent internationally, I know the UK they're a bit more.. annoying, shall we say.

My address is,

(insert false name here)

31 North Down Crescent Keyham Plymouth PL2 2AR

I'll keep you updated on the exec's happy reaction when they hear of the donation ;)

Joel

Oops, maybe there's something I'm not telling you.

Naming exec as PR and DW made it more obvious what was happening, but unfortunately I was still thinking 'donation' and the good we could do with it (the bitcoins, not the Charlie).

From: simplysarah@tormail.org [mailto:simplysarah@tormail.org]

Sent: 23 March 2013 20:51

To: Joel Dalais

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

Hi!

Yeah its kicking stuff and it's already in this country. Sat in front of me actually lol. I take it the other two members (without naming names) are PR and DW? If so I can send a g each directly to them if you want. I package well and discreetly and can get it in the post on Monday (intent to supply again)

Sarah x

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>

Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 9:26 pm

To: simplysarah@tormail.org

Priority: Normal

Unfortunately they don't fully understand the encryption and what stealth delivery involves, they're wary of it (as people tend to be who've never used, or even been to SR), but I'll make sure to get it to them if you wish. "An anonymous donation from someone who wishes us success in our fight!";)

Joel

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>

Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 9:29 pm

To: simplysarah@tormail.org

Priority: Normal

Just to add, we're currently in the process of deeper definition of our policies with regard to how it (cannabis) effects everything else. As an obvious veteran of SR, I wonder if you might be interested in contributing any

wisdom you may have when the drafts are ready (which won't be for a little while yet).

Subject: RE: Bitcoins

From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>

Date: Sat, March 23, 2013 9:53 pm

To: simplysarah@tormail.org

Priority: Normal

trust

Options: View Full Header | View Printable Version | Download this as

a file

And as a last note, you've prompted me into creating a new tor mail address (tomorrow) for 'discrete' conversations, I'll let you know what it is, as tonight I'm off to meet my friend Charlie, though I expect my friend is a lot lower quality than yours;)

```
Headers (in order):
Viewing Full Header - View message
Return-path: <<u>Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org</u>>
Envelope-to: simplysarah@tormail.org
Delivery-date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 10:34:13 +0000
Received: from [127.0.0.1] (helo=incoming.tormail.org)
     by internal.tormail.org with esmtp (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJL12-0000No-6K
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 10:33:06 +0000
X-Spam-Score: 0.0 (/)
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system
"incoming.tormail.org", has
     identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message
     has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label
     similar future email. If you have any questions, see
     the administrator of that system for details.
     Content preview: Hi Sarah, Yes, that is the correct address to our MtGox
account
     (it's in my name at the moment, I'm still in the process of getting the
treasurer
     to make a CLEAR specific account). We unfortunately only have a small
regular
     funding from memberships and rare donations, so any donation is greatly
appreciated
     (which we would use to further our campaign, make people aware, get the
truth
     out there and combat the corruption in government!). [...]
     Content analysis details: (0.0 points, 5.0 required)
     pts rule name description
     -0.0 RCVD IN DNSWL NONE RBL: Sender listed at http://www.dnswl.org/, no
```

```
[95.142.156.2 listed in list.dnswl.org]
Received: from mail1.eqx.gridhost.co.uk ([95.142.156.2])
    by incoming.tormail.org with esmtps (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256)
     (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJLmq-0008Rq-BG
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 06:34:56 -0400
Received: from [82.46.44.223] (helo=LucasPC)
     by mail1.eqx.gridhost.co.uk with esmtpa (Exim 4.72)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJLmg-00028p-1Y
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 10:34:46 +0000
From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
To: <simplysarah@tormail.org>
References: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
In-Reply-To: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
Subject: RE: Bitcoins
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 10:34:37 -0000
Message-ID: <000c01ce27b2$04be10b0$0e3a3210$@org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0
Thread-Index: Ac4nYOyXYsQvO6JqRfGah/lunaHQygAT1fxA
Content-Language: en-gb
Viewing Full Header - View message
Return-path: <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
Envelope-to: simplysarah@tormail.org
Delivery-date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 20:35:08 +0000
Received: from [127.0.0.1] (helo=incoming.tormail.org)
     by internal.tormail.org with esmtp (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJV9W-0003QJ-3H
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 20:35:00 +0000
X-Spam-Score: 0.0 (/)
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system
"incoming.tormail.org", has
     identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message
    has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label
     similar future email. If you have any questions, see
    the administrator of that system for details.
    Content preview: Hi Sarah, Ye, I guessed that you'd want to remain
anonymous
     (from the tormail address). And I know that 3 of team (myself
included) wouldn't
     say no to some 'bolivian marching powder', and its 80% ?? damn nice,
don't
     think I've ever tried such quality. I was going to get some a few months
    back via SR but never got around to it. I think it's fair to say that the
    team would be extremely grateful. Though that one would probably be best
    to me than our official address, then from there I can share with the
team.
```

```
[...]
     Content analysis details: (0.0 points, 5.0 required)
    pts rule name description
    ----
    -0.0 RCVD IN DNSWL NONE RBL: Sender listed at http://www.dnswl.org/, no
     [95.142.156.3 listed in list.dnswl.org]
Received: from mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk ([95.142.156.3])
    by incoming.tormail.org with esmtps (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256)
     (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJVBL-00083k-S0
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 16:36:52 -0400
Received: from [82.46.44.223] (helo=LucasPC)
     by mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk with esmtpa (Exim 4.72)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJVBK-0003a3-Hw
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 20:36:51 +0000
From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
To: <simplysarah@tormail.org>
References: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
<000c01ce27b2$04be10b0$0e3a3210$@org>
<1UJSqi-000IPS-4J@internal.tormail.org>
In-Reply-To: <1UJSqi-000IPS-4J@internal.tormail.org>
Subject: RE: Bitcoins
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 20:36:41 -0000
Message-ID: <000001ce2806$2095dc10$61c19430$@org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0
Thread-Index: Ac4n8bD46Mbeye79QnWAtfjjz1pTCAAEttaQ
Content-Language: en-gb
x-cr-hashedpuzzle: ATzh AtIh A+Gf BVqK B45u CA4Q CnbX DiJ/ D4x4 ELKO ENEu F9bq
G83/ HGx1 H3MX
IJOv;1;cwBpAGOAcABsAHkAcwBhAHIAYQBoAEAAdABvAHIAbQBhAGkAbAAuAG8AcgBnAA==;Sosha1
_v1;7;{E4336165-9D41-44D3-B067-
AB7957FF871A}; agBvAGUAbAAtAGQAYQBsAGEAaQBzAEAAYwBsAGUAYQByAC0AdQBrAC4AbwByAGcA
;Sat,
23 Mar 2013 20:36:40 GMT; UgBFADoAIABCAGkAdAB jAG8AaQBuAHMA
x-cr-puzzleid: {E4336165-9D41-44D3-B067-AB7957FF871A}
Viewing Full Header - View message
Return-path: <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
Envelope-to: simplysarah@tormail.org
Delivery-date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:25:00 +0000
Received: from [127.0.0.1] (helo=incoming.tormail.org)
     by internal.tormail.org with esmtp (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJVvX-000FlE-K2
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:24:36 +0000
X-Spam-Score: 0.0 (/)
```

```
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system
"incoming.tormail.org", has
     identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message
     has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label
     similar future email. If you have any questions, see
     the administrator of that system for details.
     Content preview: Unfortunately they don't fully understand the encryption
and
     what stealth delivery involves, they're wary of it (as people tend to be
    who've never used, or even been to SR), but I'll make sure to get it to
them
     if you wish. "An anonymous donation from someone who wishes us success in
     our fight!";) [...]
     Content analysis details: (0.0 points, 5.0 required)
     pts rule name description
     ----
     -0.0 RCVD_IN_DNSWL_NONE RBL: Sender listed at <a href="http://www.dnswl.org/">http://www.dnswl.org/</a>, no
     trust
     [95.142.156.3 listed in list.dnswl.org]
Received: from mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk ([95.142.156.3])
     by incoming.tormail.org with esmtps (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256)
     (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJVxL-0000Ko-GN
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 17:26:31 -0400
Received: from [82.46.44.223] (helo=LucasPC)
     by mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk with esmtpa (Exim 4.72)
     (envelope-from <<u>Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org</u>>)
     id 1UJVxE-00023d-Lg
     for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:26:20 +0000
From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
To: <simplysarah@tormail.org>
References: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
<000c01ce27b2$04be10b0$0e3a3210$@org>
<1UJSqi-000IPS-4J@internal.tormail.org>
<000001ce2806$2095dc10$61c19430$@org>
<1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
In-Reply-To: <1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
Subject: RE: Bitcoins
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:26:12 -0000
Message-ID: <000001ce280d$0b0fbdf0$212f39d0$@org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0
Thread-Index: Ac4oCJG5CkH8CybwQIyUmDSvk5IhDwAA94kA
Content-Language: en-gb
x-cr-hashedpuzzle: /QI= CIAI EIa3 EXqZ FAxm F0c/ Glp4 Gt7L HCZC HTwb HWIz ISa0
Jv1M JwRv KBah
LFig;1;cwBpAG0AcABsAHkAcwBhAHIAYQBoAEAAdABvAHIAbQBhAGkAbAAuAG8AcgBnAA==;Sosha1
v1;7;{011CE1D1-74BF-4F36-A863-
23EC11C95EBD}; agBvAGUAbAAtAGQAYQBsAGEAaQBzAEAAYwBsAGUAYQByAC0AdQBrAC4AbwByAGcA
;Sat,
23 Mar 2013 21:26:10 GMT; UgBFADoAIABCAGkAdABjAG8AaQBuAHMA
```

```
x-cr-puzzleid: {011CE1D1-74BF-4F36-A863-23EC11C95EBD}
Viewing Full Header - View message
Return-path: <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
Envelope-to: simplysarah@tormail.org
Delivery-date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:28:00 +0000
Received: from [127.0.0.1] (helo=incoming.tormail.org)
    by internal.tormail.org with esmtp (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
     id 1UJVyV-000GU2-Qm
    for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:27:41 +0000
X-Spam-Score: 0.0 (/)
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system
"incoming.tormail.org", has
     identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message
    has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label
     similar future email. If you have any questions, see
    the administrator of that system for details.
    Content preview: Just to add, we're currently in the process of deeper
definition
    of our policies with regard to how it (cannabis) effects everything else.
    As an obvious veteran of SR, I wonder if you might be interested in
contributing
    any wisdom you may have when the drafts are ready (which won't be for a
little
    while yet). [...]
    Content analysis details: (0.0 points, 5.0 required)
    pts rule name description
    ____
-----
    -0.0 RCVD IN DNSWL NONE RBL: Sender listed at http://www.dnswl.org/, no
    trust
    [95.142.156.3 listed in list.dnswl.org]
Received: from mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk ([95.142.156.3])
    by incoming.tormail.org with esmtps (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256)
     (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJW0G-0000NT-EX
    for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 17:29:29 -0400
Received: from [82.46.44.223] (helo=LucasPC)
    by mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk with esmtpa (Exim 4.72)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJW0G-0002Ty-13
    for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:29:28 +0000
From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
To: <simplysarah@tormail.org>
References: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
<000c01ce27b2$04be10b0$0e3a3210$@org>
<1UJSqi-000IPS-4J@internal.tormail.org>
<000001ce2806$2095dc10$61c19430$@org>
<1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
In-Reply-To: <1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
Subject: RE: Bitcoins
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:29:19 -0000
Message-ID: <000001ce280d$7abd8650$703892f0$@org>
```

```
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
    charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0
Thread-Index: Ac4oCJG5CkH8CybwQIyUmDSvk5IhDwABJSHg
Content-Language: en-gb
x-cr-hashedpuzzle: cHE= AYjZ AkZK BMOY Bvuq DVQ6 Dkm0 D1zb FWM5 FZih GMcJ
GrI+ HLyu JCcE KTYJ
LbrY;1;cwBpAG0AcABsAHkAcwBhAHIAYQBoAEAAdABvAHIAbQBhAGkAbAAuAG8AcgBnAA==;Sosha1
_v1;7;{398E1AE9-A5C9-4A5F-8234-
B2834F537F4E}; agBvAGUAbAAtAGQAYQBsAGEAaQBzAEAAYwBsAGUAYQByAC0AdQBrAC4AbwByAGcA
;Sat,
23 Mar 2013 21:29:17 GMT; UgBFADoAIABCAGkAdABjAG8AaQBuAHMA
x-cr-puzzleid: {398E1AE9-A5C9-4A5F-8234-B2834F537F4E}
Viewing Full Header - View message
Return-path: <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
Envelope-to: simplysarah@tormail.org
Delivery-date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:53:00 +0000
Received: from [127.0.0.1] (helo=incoming.tormail.org)
    by internal.tormail.org with esmtp (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJWML-000MMq-04
    for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:52:20 +0000
X-Spam-Score: 0.0 (/)
X-Spam-Report: Spam detection software, running on the system
"incoming.tormail.org", has
    identified this incoming email as possible spam. The original message
    has been attached to this so you can view it (if it isn't spam) or label
    similar future email. If you have any questions, see
    the administrator of that system for details.
    Content preview: And as a last note, you've prompted me into creating a
new
    tor mail address (tomorrow) for 'discrete' conversations, I'll let you
know
    what it is, as tonight I'm off to meet my friend Charlie, though I expect
    my friend is a lot lower quality than yours;) [...]
    Content analysis details: (0.0 points, 5.0 required)
    pts rule name description
     ____
 _____
    -0.0 RCVD_IN_DNSWL_NONE RBL: Sender listed at http://www.dnswl.org/, no
    [95.142.156.3 listed in list.dnswl.org]
Received: from mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk ([95.142.156.3])
    by incoming.tormail.org with esmtps (TLSv1:AES256-SHA:256)
    (Exim 4.63)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJWOA-0000fu-3D
    for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 17:54:10 -0400
Received: from [82.46.44.223] (helo=LucasPC)
    by mail2.eqx.gridhost.co.uk with esmtpa (Exim 4.72)
     (envelope-from <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>)
    id 1UJWNz-0005fi-60
```

```
for simplysarah@tormail.org; Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:53:59 +0000
From: "Joel Dalais" <Joel-Dalais@clear-uk.org>
To: <simplysarah@tormail.org>
References: <1UJCgY-0000eC-RW@internal.tormail.org>
<000c01ce27b2$04be10b0$0e3a3210$@org>
<1UJSqi-000IPS-4J@internal.tormail.org>
<000001ce2806$2095dc10$61c19430$@org>
<1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
In-Reply-To: <1UJVP7-0007Ui-NE@internal.tormail.org>
Subject: RE: Bitcoins
Date: Sat, 23 Mar 2013 21:53:50 -0000
Message-ID: <000101ce2810$e7afd0d0$b70f7270$@org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
     charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Mailer: Microsoft Office Outlook 12.0
Thread-Index: Ac4oCJG5CkH8CybwQIyUmDSvk5IhDwACA30A
Content-Language: en-gb
x-cr-hashedpuzzle: AQqg AYRe Afuk AopQ Aou2 De2X DyIA Ed1j Eg0d GEhb GktA HuIW
IZfS KRM7 KzRH
LGcX;1;cwBpAG0AcABsAHkAcwBhAHIAY0BoAEAAdABvAHIAb0BhAGkAbAAuAG8AcgBnAA==;Sosha1
_v1;7;{5D269DEC-0934-4D9A-BBFF-
10C344944606}; agBvAGUAbAAtAGQAYQBsAGEAaQBzAEAAYwBsAGUAYQByAC0AdQBrAC4AbwByAGcA
23 Mar 2013 21:53:49 GMT; UgBFADoAIABCAGkAdABjAG8AaQBuAHMA
x-cr-puzzleid: {5D269DEC-0934-4D9A-BBFF-10C344944606}
```

Summary, all breeches listed here. I'm pretty sure you can find out the sentencing powers for all of these, and for a combination of them all. If I have missed anything out you can rest assured that I will add it in at my own convenience and will pursue such things as a appropriate.

There's actually more than listed below, when I decide to bring it to the Criminal and Civil courts I will let you know the full extent of how these people have abused the system and of the trust and faith the people following them, as well the good name of NORML, the UK Cannabis Social Clubs and everything else they have distorted and twisted in their attempts to keep attack a true campaign in the UK.

Well done PRW/NORML UK, you got my attention.

Data Protection Act 1998

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/29/contents

```
Section 1 (1),
Section 7, (1),
Section 9a, (1), (3), (4).
```

Misuse of Drugs Act 1971

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1971/38/contents

```
Section 3, (1)(a)
```

```
Section 4, (1)(b), (3)(a)(b)(c)
Section 5, (1), (2), (3)
Section 19
```

Crime and Courts Act 2013

http://services.parliament.uk/bills/2012-13/crimeandcourts.html http://www.publications.parliament.uk/pa/bills/lbill/2012-2013/0090/2013090.pdf

Clause 29, Section 11, (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)

Data Protection Act 1998

Part I, Section 1. Basic interpretative provisions.

(It's a lot to copy/paste, check it for yourself).

http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1998/29/section/1

Right of access to personal data.

Part II Rights of data subjects and others

Part II, Section 7. Right of access to personal data.

- (1) Subject to the following provisions of this section and to [F1] sections 8, 9 and 9A], an individual is entitled—
- (a) to be informed by any data controller whether personal data of which that individual is the data subject are being processed by or on behalf of that data controller,
- (b) if that is the case, to be given by the data controller a description of—
- (i) the personal data of which that individual is the data subject,
- (ii) the purposes for which they are being or are to be processed, and
- (iii) the recipients or classes of recipients to whom they are or may be disclosed,
- (c) to have communicated to him in an intelligible form—
- (i) the information constituting any personal data of which that individual is the data subject, and
- (ii) any information available to the data controller as to the source of those data, and
- (d) where the processing by automatic means of personal data of which that individual is the data subject for the purpose of evaluating matters relating to him such as, for example, his performance at work, his creditworthiness, his reliability or his conduct, has constituted or is likely to constitute the sole basis for any decision significantly affecting him, to be informed by the data controller of the logic involved in that decision-taking.

Part II, Section 9a. Unstructured personal data held by public authorities.

(1) In this section "unstructured personal data" means any personal data falling within paragraph (e) of the definition of "data" in section 1(1), other than information which is recorded as part of, or with the intention

that it should form part of, any set of information relating to individuals to the extent that the set is structured by reference to individuals or by reference to criteria relating to individuals.

- (3) Even if the data are described by the data subject in his request, a public authority is not obliged to comply with subsection (1) of section 7 in relation to unstructured personal data if the authority estimates that the cost of complying with the request so far as relating to those data would exceed the appropriate limit.
- (4) Subsection (3) does not exempt the public authority from its obligation to comply with paragraph (a) of section 7(1) in relation to the unstructured personal data unless the estimated cost of complying with that paragraph alone in relation to those data would exceed the appropriate limit.

Misuse of Drugs Act 1971

Section 3. Restriction of importation and exportation of controlled drugs.

(1) (a)the importation of a controlled drug;

Section 4. Restriction of production and supply of controlled drugs.

- (1)Subject to any regulations under section 7 of this Act for the time being in force, it shall not be lawful for a person—
- (b)to supply or offer to supply a controlled drug to another.
- (3) Subject to section 28 of this Act, it is an offence for a person—
- (a)to supply or offer to supply a controlled drug to another in contravention of subsection (1) above; or
- (b)to be concerned in the supplying of such a drug to another in contravention of that subsection; or
- (c)to be concerned in the making to another in contravention of that subsection of an offer to supply such a drug.

Section 5. Restriction of possession of controlled drugs.

- (1) Subject to any regulations under section 7 of this Act for the time being in force, it shall not be lawful for a person to have a controlled drug in his possession.
- (2) Subject to section 28 of this Act and to subsection (4) below, it is an offence for a person to have a controlled drug in his possession in contravention of subsection (1) above.
- (3) Subject to section 28 of this Act, it is an offence for a person to have a controlled drug in his possession, whether lawfully or not, with intent to supply it to another in contravention of section 4(1) of this Act.

Section 19. Attempts etc. to commit offences.

It is an offence for a person to attempt to commit an offence under any other provision of this Act or to incite or attempt to incite another to commit such an offence to incite another to commit an offence under any other provision of this Act.

Crime and Courts Act 2013

Clause 29, Section 11, (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7), (8)

- "Awards of exemplary damages
- (1) This section applies where-
- (a) a relevant claim is made against a person ("the defendant"),
- (b) the defendant was a relevant publisher at the material time,
- (c) the claim is related to the publication of news-related material, and
- (d) the defendant is found liable in respect of the claim.
- (2) Exemplary damages may not be awarded against the defendant in respect of the claim if the defendant was a member of an approved regulator at the material time.
- (3) But the court may disregard subsection (2) if—
- (a) the approved regulator imposed a penalty on the defendant in respect of the defendant's conduct or decided not to do so,
- (b) the court considers, in light of the information available to the approved regulator when imposing the penalty or deciding not to impose one, that the regulator was manifestly irrational in imposing the penalty or deciding not to impose one, and
- (c) the court is satisfied that, but for subsection (2), it would have made an award of exemplary damages under this section against the defendant.
- (4) Where the court is not prevented from making an award of exemplary damages by subsection (2) (whether because that subsection does not apply or the court is permitted to disregard that subsection as a result of subsection (3)), the court—
- (a) may make an award of exemplary damages if it considers it appropriate to do so in all the circumstances of the case, but
- (b) may do so only under this section.
- (5) Exemplary damages may be awarded under this section only if they are claimed.
- (6) Exemplary damages may be awarded under this section only if the court is satisfied that—
- (a) the defendant's conduct has shown a deliberate or reckless disregard of an outrageous nature for the claimant's rights,
- (b) the conduct is such that the court should punish the defendant for it, and
- (c) other remedies would not be adequate to punish that conduct.
- (7) Exemplary damages may be awarded under this section whether or not another remedy is granted.
- (8) The decision on the question of-
- (a) whether exemplary damages are to be awarded under this section,

or

(b) the amount of such damages, must not be left to a jury."